# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA

In the matter of an application under Article 126 of the Constitution of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka

## SC FR 401/2001

Velu Arasadevi

Petitioner

Vs

Prematilaka and others

Respondents

BEFORE: Fernando J, Ismail J and Wigneswaran J.

**COUNSEL**: A.H.H. Perera with Ms M.C. Morawake for petitioner

Ranjan Suwardaratne with S.T. Gunawardane for 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent

Riyaz Hamza SC for the 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> respondents

**ARGUED and DECIDED ON**: January 24, 2002

Constitution Article 126 – Fundamental Rights- Article 11, 13(1) – Raped by Police Army personal at check point – Violation of fundamental rights by executive action?

The petitioner was stopped at a check point and allowed to go home. Later, respondents (Police/Army Officers) had come home and ordered her to accompany them to the Maradana Police Station. She was not taken to the Police Station, but was forcibly taken to a place behind the check point and raped.

The petitioner complained of infringement of her fundamental rights guaranteed by Article 11 and 13(1) of the Constitution.

### Held:

- (1) It is clear that the petitioner was raped by one or more persons near the check point while they were on duty at the check point and that she was subjected to an unlawful restraint on liberty.
- (2) The State is responsible for the infringement of her fundamental rights

(3) Petitioner's fundamental rights under Article 11 and 13(1) have been infringed by executive action.

#### **APPLICATION** under Article 126 of the Constitution

January 24 2002

#### **FERNANDO J**

The petitioner complaints of the infringement of her fundamental rights guaranteed by Article 11 and 13(1) of the Constitution.

The petitioner states that soon after 11.00 pm on 23.06.2001 she was stopped at a check point at which the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> respondents were on duty. She states that at about 3.00 am on 24.06.2001 the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> respondents came to the residence and ordered her to accompany them to the Maradana Police Station. She accordingly went with them. She was not taken to the Maradana Police Station, but was forcibly taken to a place behind the check point away from the main road. There she was raped by more than one person despite her pleas that she was menstruating. Mr Hamza is directed to submit a copy of the medical report submitted by Dr Peiris of the Department of Forensic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine the original of which was shown to us.

Mr Hamza SC states that the Attorney General will take steps to file criminal proceedings against the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> respondents and that they have been informed that the Attorney General will not appear for them. The 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> respondents are nevertheless absent and unrepresented despite notices have been sent to them.

In these circumstances it appears to us that any determination as to the part played by 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> respondents in regard to the rape of the petitioner would prejudice the criminal trial.

It is clear from the petitioner's version that she was raped by one or more persons near the check point while they were on duty at the check point and that she was subjected to unlawful restraint on liberty. The State is therefore responsible for the infringement of her fundamental rights. We hold that the petitioner's fundamental right under Article 11 and 13(1) have been infringed by executive action

We award the petitioner a sum of Rs 150,000/- as compensation and costs payable by the State on or before 31.03.2002. This is without prejudice to her civil rights against the wrongdoers personally.

Ismail J I agree

Wigneswaran J I agree

Relief granted

Compensation awarded.